

SOME CURIOSITIES OF THE ALGEBRA OF BOUNDED DIRICHLET SERIES

RAYMOND MORTINI AND AMOL SASANE

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the algebra \mathcal{H}^∞ of bounded Dirichlet series is not a coherent ring, and has infinite Bass stable rank. As corollaries of the latter result, it is derived that \mathcal{H}^∞ has infinite topological stable rank and infinite Krull dimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this short note is to make explicit two observations about algebraic properties of the ring \mathcal{H}^∞ of bounded Dirichlet series. In particular we will show that

- (1) \mathcal{H}^∞ is not a coherent ring. (This is essentially an immediate consequence of Eric Amar's proof of the noncoherence of the Hardy algebra $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ of the polydisk \mathbb{D}^n for $n \geq 3$ [1].)
- (2) \mathcal{H}^∞ has infinite Bass stable rank. (This is a straightforward adaptation of the first author's proof of the fact that the stable rank of the infinite polydisk algebra is infinite [12]). As corollaries, we obtain that \mathcal{H}^∞ has infinite topological stable rank, and infinite Krull dimension.

Before giving the relevant definitions, we briefly mention that \mathcal{H}^∞ is a closed Banach subalgebra of the classical Hardy algebra $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_{>0})$ consisting of all bounded and holomorphic functions in the open right half plane

$$\mathbb{C}_{>0} := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0\},$$

and it is striking to compare our findings with the corresponding results for $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_{>0})$:

	$H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_{>0})$	\mathcal{H}^∞
Coherent?	Yes (See [11])	No
Bass stable rank	1 (See [17])	∞
Topological stable rank	2 (See [16])	∞
Krull dimension	∞ (See [13])	∞

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11M41; Secondary 30H05, 13E99.

Key words and phrases. coherent ring, Hardy algebra, Dirichlet series, Bass stable rank, topological stable rank, Krull dimension, K -theory.

Nevertheless the above results for \mathcal{H}^∞ lend support to Harald Bohr's idea of interpreting Dirichlet series as functions of infinitely many complex variables, a key theme used in the proofs of the main results in this note.

We recall the pertinent definitions below.

1.1. The algebra \mathcal{H}^∞ of bounded Dirichlet series. \mathcal{H}^∞ denotes the set of Dirichlet series

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of complex numbers, such that f is holomorphic and bounded in $\mathbb{C}_{>0}$. Equipped with pointwise operations and the supremum norm,

$$\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_{>0}} |f(s)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty,$$

\mathcal{H}^∞ is a unital commutative Banach algebra. In [8, Theorem 3.1], it was shown that the Banach algebra \mathcal{H}^∞ is precisely the multiplier space of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of Dirichlet series

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty.$$

The importance of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} stems from the fact that its kernel function $K_{\mathcal{H}}(z, w)$ is related to the Riemann zeta function ζ :

$$K_{\mathcal{H}}(z, w) = \zeta(z + \overline{w}).$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{H}_m^∞ be the closed subalgebra of \mathcal{H}^∞ consisting of Dirichlet series of the form (1.1) involving only integers n generated by the first m primes $2, 3, \dots, p_m$.

1.2. $\mathcal{H}^\infty = H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. In [8, Lemma 2.3 and the proof of Theorem 3.1], it was established that \mathcal{H}^∞ is isometrically (Banach algebra) isomorphic to $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$, a certain algebra of functions analytic in the infinite dimensional polydisk, defined below. As this plays a central role in what follows, we give an outline of this based on [8], [15] and [10].

A seminal observation made by H. Bohr [3], is that if we put

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{2^s}, \quad z_2 = \frac{1}{3^s}, \quad z_3 = \frac{1}{5^s}, \dots, z_n = \frac{1}{p_n^s}, \dots,$$

where p_n denotes the n th prime, then, in view of the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, formally a Dirichlet series in \mathcal{H}_n^∞ or \mathcal{H}^∞ can be considered as a power series of infinitely many variables. Indeed, each n has a unique expansion

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1(n)} \cdots p_{r(n)}^{\alpha_{r(n)}(n)},$$

with nonnegative $\alpha_j(n)$ s, and so, from (1.1), we obtain the formal power series

$$F(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_1^{\alpha_1(n)} \cdots z_{r(n)}^{\alpha_{r(n)}(n)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_m)$ or $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots)$ depending on whether f is a function in \mathcal{H}_m^∞ or in \mathcal{H}^∞ . Let us recall Kronecker's Theorem on diophantine approximation [7, Chapter XXIII]:

Proposition 1.1. *For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the map*

$$t \mapsto (2^{-it}, 3^{-it}, \dots, p_m^{-it}) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^m$$

has dense range in \mathbb{T}^m , where $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$.

Using the above and the Maximum Principle, it can be shown that for $f \in \mathcal{H}_m^\infty$,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \|F\|_\infty, \quad (1.3)$$

where the norm on the right hand side is the $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^m)$ norm. Here $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^m)$ denotes the usual Hardy algebra of bounded holomorphic functions on the polydisk \mathbb{D}^m , endowed with the supremum norm:

$$\|F\|_\infty := \sup_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^m} |F(\mathbf{z})|, \quad F \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^m).$$

In [8], it was shown that this result also holds in the infinite dimensional case. In order to describe this result, we introduce some notation. Let c_0 be the Banach space of complex sequences tending to 0 at infinity, with the induced norm from ℓ^∞ , and let B be the open unit ball of that Banach space. Thus with $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$,

$$B = c_0 \cap \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

For a point $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_m, \dots) \in B$, we set $\mathbf{z}^{(m)} := (z_1, \dots, z_m, 0, \dots)$, that is, $z_k = 0$ for $k > m$. Substituting $\mathbf{z}^{(m)}$ in the argument of F given formally by (1.2), we obtain a function

$$(z_1, \dots, z_m) \mapsto F(\mathbf{z}^{(m)}),$$

which we call the m th-section F_m (after Bohr's terminology "mte abschnitt"). F is said to be in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ if the H^∞ norm of these functions F_m are uniformly bounded, and denote the supremum of these norms to be $\|F\|_\infty$. Using Schwarz's Lemma for the polydisk, it can be seen that for $m < \ell$,

$$|F(\mathbf{z}^{(m)}) - F(\mathbf{z}^{(\ell)})| \leq 2\|f\|_\infty \cdot \max\{|z_j| : m < j \leq \ell\},$$

and so we may define

$$F(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F(\mathbf{z}^{(m)}).$$

It was shown in [8] that (1.3) remains true in the infinite dimensional case, and so we may associate \mathcal{H}^∞ with $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$.

Proposition 1.2 ([8]). *There exists a Banach algebra isometric isomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{H}^\infty \rightarrow H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$.*

1.3. Coherence.

Definition 1.3. Let R be a unital commutative ring, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $R^n = R \times \cdots \times R$ (n times).

For $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in R^n$, a *relation* \mathbf{g} on \mathbf{f} is an n -tuple $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ in R^n such that

$$g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_n f_n = 0.$$

The set of all relations on \mathbf{f} is denoted by \mathbf{f}^\perp .

The ring R is said to be *coherent* if for each n and each $\mathbf{f} \in R^n$, the R -module \mathbf{f}^\perp is finitely generated.

A property which is equivalent to coherence is that the intersection of any two finitely generated ideals in R is finitely generated, and the annihilator of any element is finitely generated [4]. We refer the reader to the article [5] and the monograph [6] for the relevance of the property of coherence in commutative algebra. All Noetherian rings are coherent, but not all coherent rings are Noetherian. (For example, the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$ is not Noetherian because the sequence of ideals $\langle x_1 \rangle \subset \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \subset \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \subset \cdots$ is ascending and not stationary, but $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$ is coherent [6, Corollary 2.3.4].)

In the context of algebras of holomorphic functions in the unit disk \mathbb{D} , we mention [11], where it was shown that the Hardy algebra $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is coherent, while the disk algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ isn't. For $n \geq 3$, Amar [1] showed that the Hardy algebra $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is not coherent. (It is worth mentioning that whether the Hardy algebra $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)$ of the bidisk is coherent or not seems to be an open problem.) Using Amar's result, we will prove the following result:

Theorem 1.4. \mathcal{H}^∞ is not coherent.

1.4. Stable rank. In algebraic K -theory, the notion of (Bass) stable rank of a ring was introduced in order to facilitate K -theoretic computations [2].

Definition 1.5. Let R be a commutative ring with an identity element (denoted by 1).

An element $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$ is called *unimodular* if there exist elements b_1, \dots, b_n in R such that

$$b_1 a_1 + \cdots + b_n a_n = 1.$$

The set of all unimodular elements of R^n is denoted by $U_n(R)$.

We say that $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in U_{n+1}(R)$ is *reducible* if there exists an element $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ such that

$$(a_1 + x_1 a_{n+1}, \dots, a_n + x_n a_{n+1}) \in U_n(R).$$

The *Bass stable rank* of R is the least integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which every $a \in U_{n+1}(R)$ is reducible. If there is no such integer n , we say that R has *infinite stable rank*.

Using the same idea as in [12, Proposition 1] (that the infinite polydisk algebra $A(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ has infinite Bass stable rank), we show the following.

Theorem 1.6. *The Bass stable rank of \mathcal{H}^∞ is infinite.*

For Banach algebras, an analogue of the Bass stable rank, called the topological stable rank, was introduced by Marc Rieffel in [14].

Definition 1.7. Let R be a commutative complex Banach algebra with unit element 1. The least integer n for which $U_n(R)$ is dense in R^n is called the *topological stable rank of R* . We say R has *infinite topological stable rank* if no such integer n exists.

Corollary 1.8. *The topological stable rank of \mathcal{H}^∞ is infinite.*

Proof. This follows from the inequality that the Bass stable rank of a commutative unital semisimple complex Banach algebra is at most equal to its topological stable rank; see [14, Corollary 2.4]. \square

Definition 1.9. The Krull dimension of a commutative ring R is the supremum of the lengths of chains of distinct proper prime ideals of R .

Corollary 1.10. *The Krull dimension of \mathcal{H}^∞ is infinite.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that if a ring has Krull dimension d , then its Bass stable rank is at most $d + 2$; see [9]. \square

2. NONCOHERENCE OF \mathcal{H}^∞

We will use the following fact due to Amar [1, Proof of Theorem 1.(ii)].

Proposition 2.1. $(z_1 - z_2, z_2 - z_3)^\perp$ is not a finitely generated $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^3)$ -module.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The main idea of the proof is that, using the isomorphism ι , essentially we boil the problem down to working with $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &:= \frac{1}{2^s} - \frac{1}{3^s}, \\ f_2 &:= \frac{1}{3^s} - \frac{1}{5^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\iota(f_1) = z_1 - z_2$ and $\iota(f_2) = z_2 - z_3$. Suppose that $(f_1, f_2)^\perp$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{H}^∞ -module, say by

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(1)} \\ g_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} g_r^{(1)} \\ g_r^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \in (\mathcal{H}^\infty)^2.$$

We will show that the 3rd section of the image under ι of the above elements generate $(z_1 - z_2, z_2 - z_3)^\perp$ in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^3)$, contradicting Proposition 2.1. If

$$\begin{bmatrix} G^{(1)} \\ G^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \in (H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^3))^2 \cap (F_1, F_2)^\perp,$$

then $F_1 G^{(1)} + F_2 G^{(2)} = 0$, and by applying ι^{-1} , we see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \iota^{-1} G^{(1)} \\ \iota^{-1} G^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \in (f_1, f_2)^\perp.$$

So there exist $\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(r)} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \iota^{-1} G^{(1)} \\ \iota^{-1} G^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \alpha^{(1)} \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(1)} \\ g_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \dots + \alpha^{(r)} \begin{bmatrix} g_r^{(1)} \\ g_r^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying ι , we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} G^{(1)} \\ G^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \iota(\alpha^{(1)}) \begin{bmatrix} \iota(g_1^{(1)}) \\ \iota(g_1^{(2)}) \end{bmatrix} + \dots + \iota(\alpha^{(r)}) \begin{bmatrix} \iota(g_r^{(1)}) \\ \iota(g_r^{(2)}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally taking the 3rd section, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \\ G^{(2)}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^r (\iota(\alpha^{(j)}))(\mathbf{z}^{(3)}) \begin{bmatrix} (\iota(g_j^{(1)}))(\mathbf{z}^{(3)}) \\ (\iota(g_j^{(2)}))(\mathbf{z}^{(3)}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

So it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\iota(g_1^{(1)}))(\mathbf{z}^{(3)}) \\ (\iota(g_1^{(2)}))(\mathbf{z}^{(3)}) \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} (\iota(g_r^{(1)}))(\mathbf{z}^{(3)}) \\ (\iota(g_r^{(2)}))(\mathbf{z}^{(3)}) \end{bmatrix}$$

generate $(z_1 - z_2, z_2 - z_3)^\perp$, a contradiction to Amar's result, Proposition 2.1. \square

3. STABLE RANK OF \mathcal{H}^∞

The proof of Theorem 1.6 is a straightforward adaptation of the first author's proof of the fact that the Bass stable rank of the infinite polydisk algebra is infinite [12, Proposition 1]. In [12], the infinite polydisk algebra $A(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ is the uniform closure of the algebra generated by the coordinate functions z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots on the countably infinite polydisk $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \times \dots$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ be given by

$$g(s) := \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p_j p_{n+j})^s} \right) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Set

$$\mathbf{f} := \left(\frac{1}{2^s}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n^s}, g \right) \in (\mathcal{H}^\infty)^{n+1}.$$

We will show that $\mathbf{f} \in U_{n+1}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ is not reducible. First let us note that \mathbf{f} is unimodular. Indeed, by expanding the product on the right hand side of (3.1), we obtain

$$g = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} \cdot g_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n^s} \cdot g_n,$$

for some appropriate $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Now suppose that \mathbf{f} is reducible, and that there exist $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2^s} + gh_1, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n^s} + gh_n\right) \in U_n(\mathcal{H}^\infty).$$

Let $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ be such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2^s} + gh_1\right)y_1 + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{p_n^s} + gh_n\right)y_n = 1.$$

Applying ι , we obtain

$$(z_1 + \iota(g)\iota(h_1))\iota(y_1) + \dots + (z_n + \iota(g)\iota(h_n))\iota(y_n) = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\mathbf{h} := (\iota(h_1), \dots, \iota(h_n))$. For $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we define

$$\Phi(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{cases} -\mathbf{h}(z_1, \dots, z_n, \overline{z_1}, \dots, \overline{z_n}, 0, \dots) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |z_j|^2) & \text{for } |z_j| < 1, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then Φ is a continuous map from \mathbb{C}^n into \mathbb{C}^n . But Φ vanishes outside \mathbb{D}^n , and so

$$\max_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|\Phi(\mathbf{z})\|_2 = \sup_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n} \|\Phi(\mathbf{z})\|_2.$$

This implies that there must exist an $r \geq 1$ such that Φ maps $K := r\overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$ into K . As K is compact and convex, by Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem it follows that there exists a $\mathbf{z}_* \in K$ such that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{z}_*) = \mathbf{z}_*.$$

Since Φ is zero outside \mathbb{D}^n , we see that $\mathbf{z}_* \in \mathbb{D}^n$. Let $\mathbf{z}_* = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$. Then for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \zeta_j + (\iota(h_j))(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n, \overline{\zeta_1}, \dots, \overline{\zeta_n}, 0, \dots) \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - |\zeta_k|^2) \\ &= \zeta_j + (\iota(h_j)\iota(g))(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n, \overline{\zeta_1}, \dots, \overline{\zeta_n}, 0, \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

But from (3.2), we know that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (z_j + \iota(h_j)\iota(g))\iota(y_j) = 1,$$

and this contradicts (3.3). As the choice of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary, it follows that the Bass stable rank of \mathcal{H}^∞ is infinite. \square

Acknowledgement: Useful discussions with Anders Olofsson (Lund University) are gratefully acknowledged by the second author.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Amar. Non coh rence de certains anneaux de fonctions holomorphes. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 25:68-73, no. 1, 1981.
- [2] H. Bass. *Algebraic K-theory*. W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968.
- [3] H. Bohr.  ber die Bedeutung der Potenzreihen unendlich vieler Variablen in der Theorie der Dirichletschen Reihen $\sum a_n/n^s$. *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. G ttingen Math. Phys.*, 1913:441-488, 1913.
- [4] S.U. Chase. Direct products of modules. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 97:457-473, 1960.
- [5] S. Glaz. Commutative coherent rings: historical perspective and current developments. *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde (4)*, 10:37-56, no. 1-2, 1992.
- [6] S. Glaz. *Commutative coherent rings*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1371, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright. *An introduction to the theory of numbers*. 3rd ed. Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 1954.
- [8] H. Hedenmalm, P. Lindqvist and K. Seip. A Hilbert space of Dirichlet series and systems of dilated functions in $L^2(0, 1)$. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 86:1-37, no. 1, 1997.
- [9] R.C. Heitmann. Generating ideals in Pr fer domains. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 62:117-126, no. 1, 1976.
- [10] B. Maurizi and H. Queff lec. Some remarks on the algebra of bounded Dirichlet series. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 16:676-692, no. 5, 2010.
- [11] W.S. McVoy and L.A. Rubel. Coherence of some rings of functions. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 21:76-87, no. 1, 1976.
- [12] R. Mortini. An example of a subalgebra of H on the unit disk whose stable rank is not finite. *Studia Mathematica*, 103:275-281, no. 3, 1992.
- [13] M. von Renteln. Primideale in der topologischen Algebra $H^\infty(\beta)$. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 157:7982, 1977.
- [14] M.A. Rieffel. Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C -algebras. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3)*, 46:301-333, no. 2, 1983.
- [15] K. Seip. Interpolation by Dirichlet series in H^∞ . In *Linear and complex analysis*, 153-164, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 226, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [16] D. Su rez. Trivial Gleason parts and the topological stable rank of H^∞ . *American Journal of Mathematics*, 118:879-904, no. 4, 1996.
- [17] S. Treil. The stable rank of the algebra H^∞ equals 1. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 109:130-154, no. 1, 1992.

UNIVERSIT  DE LORRAINE, D PARTEMENT DE MATH MATIQUES ET INSTITUT  LIE
 CARTAN DE LORRAINE, UMR 7502, ILE DU SAULCY, F-57045 METZ, FRANCE
E-mail address: raymond.mortini@univ-lorraine.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, HOUGHTON STREET,
 LONDON WC2A 2AE, U.K.
E-mail address: sasane@lse.ac.uk